



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,665		04/21/2004	Michel Desgagne	I-2-0496.1US	4930	
24374	7590	09/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
VOLPE.	AND KOE	NIG, P.C.	PHUNKULH, BOB A			
DEPT. IC	_	WWD 1 600	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	PLAZA, SU H 17TH ST		2661	TALER NOMBER		
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/828,665	DESGAGNE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bob A. Phunkulh	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply		,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be still apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for te, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON.  timely filed  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11</u> .	July 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		,				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	* -					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		ived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	, , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not recei	ved.				
		·				
Attachment(s)	· 📻					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
<ul> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/1/2005.</li> </ul>		al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2661

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is in response to applicant's 07/11/2005

amendment(s)/response(s) in the application of DESGAGNE et al. for "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR INTEGRATING RESOURCE ALLOCATION BETWEEN TIME

DIVISION DUPLEX AND FREQUENCY DIVISION DUPLEX IN WIRELESS

COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS" filed 04/21/2004. The amendments/response to the claims have been entered. No claims have been canceled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-13 are now pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miya (US 2002/0105913) in view of Ishiguro et al. (US 20040203786), hereinafter Ishiguro.

Regarding claim 1, Miya discloses a method for integrating time division duplex (TDD) and frequency division duplex (FDD) in wireless communication systems, the method comprising the steps of:

receiving radio access bearer (RAB) requests along with a plurality of parameters regarding the request (see paragraph 0042);

Art Unit: 2661

selecting either a TDD or FDD connection based on the level of congestion or type of services requested (see paragraph 0042).

Miya fails to disclose selecting the TDD or FDD connection based on the estimated a degree of symmetry in the uplink and downlink connections.

Ishiguro, on the other hand, disclose it is well known in the arte that selecting the TDD or FDD connection based on the estimated a degree of symmetry (data rate) in the uplink and downlink connections (see paragraph 0010) provides efficient used of systems' resources.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made implement the prior art teaching of Ishiguro especially selecting high data rate for TDD transmission and low data rate for FDD transmission in the system taught by Miya in order to provides efficient used of the systems' resources.

Regarding claim 2, Miya discloses the TDD connection is selected for RAB requests having data rates above a predetermined threshold (high speed packet transmission, see paragraph 0042).

Regarding claim 3, Miya discloses the FDD connection is selected for RAB requests associated with voice applications(real-time request i.e. speech the FDD is selected, see paragraph 0042).

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claim 4, Miya discloses evaluating a symmetry status of the UL and DL connections periodically once an initial connection has been established in response to a RAB request; and switching between TDD and FDD modes based on said symmetry status (the mobile station select TDD or FDD based on the received signal measurement, see paragraphs 0055 to 0061).

Regarding claim 5, Miya discloses all RAB requests are processed through a FDD RNC (see figure 5 and paragraph 0042).

Regarding claim 8, Miya discloses a system for integrating TDD and FDD in a communication system, the system comprising:

a core network (CN) (either telephone network 207 or IP network 208, see figure 5);

a time division duplex radio network controller (TDD RNC)(RNC 203, figure 5);

a frequency division duplex radio network controller (FDD RNC) (RNC 203, see figure 5); and,

a TDD-FDD selector for receiving a RAB request, and

a selector for selecting either a TDD or FDD connection based on the level of congestion or type of services requested (see paragraph 0042).

Miya fails to disclose selecting the TDD or FDD connection based on the estimated a degree of symmetry in the uplink and downlink connections.

Art Unit: 2661

Ishiguro, on the other hand, disclose it is well known in the arte that selecting the TDD or FDD connection based on the estimated a degree of symmetry (data rate) in the uplink and downlink connections (see paragraph 0010) provides efficient used of systems' resources.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made implement the prior art teaching of Ishiguro especially selecting high data rate for TDD transmission and low data rate for FDD transmission in the system taught by Miya in order to provides efficient used of the systems' resources.

Regarding claim 9, Miya discloses a TDD connection is selected for RAB requests having data rates above a predetermined threshold (high-speed packet transmission request, see paragraph 0042).

Regarding claim 10, Miya discloses a FDD connection is selected for RAB requests associated with voice applications (real-time request i.e. speech the FDD is selected, see paragraph 0042).

Regarding claim 11, Miya discloses the TDD RNC, the FDD RNC, and the TDD-FDD selector are integrated into an integrated TDD/FDD RNC (see figure 5).

Claims 6-7, 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Miya-Ishiguro as applied to claims 5 or 8 above, and further in view of Petersen (US 2002/0049062).

Regarding claims 6-7, 12-13, the combination of Miya-Ishiguro fails to disclose the FDD RNC includes a TDD serving radio network controller (S-RNC) and is configured to support TDD lur protocols; and only the CN and the FDD RNC are connected via an lu interface and RAB requests are processed through the FDD RNC.

Petersen, on the other hand, discloses the FDD RNC includes a TDD serving radio network controller (S-RNC) and is configured to support TDD lur protocols; and only the CN and the FDD RNC are connected via an lu interface and RAB requests are processed through the FDD RNC (see figure 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made includes the teaching of Petersen in the system taught by the combination of Miya-Ishiguro in order to take advantage of commonly used protocol and interface in the UTRAN network.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

The following address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) only:

Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_ Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

### Or:

The following address mail to be delivered by other delivery services (Federal Express (Fed Ex), UPS, DHL, Laser, Action, Purolater, Hand Delivery, etc.) as follow:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 220 20<sup>th</sup> Street South Customer Window, Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_ Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, VA 22202.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Bob A. Phunkulh** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-3083.** The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tursday from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. (first week of the bi-week) and Monday-Friday (for second week of the bi-week).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor **Chau Nguyen**, can be reach on **(571) 272-3126**. The fax phone number for this group is **(571) 273-8300**.

Art Unit: 2661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bob A. Phunkulh

Primary Examiner

TC 2600

Art Unit 2661

BOB PHUNKULH PRIMARY EXAMINED

September 22, 2005